

Media Induced Disruptions to Social Homeostasis in the Age of Digitalization

V.D. Ilyin

Abstract – This article examines media-induced disruptions to social homeostasis in the age of digitalization, framing the erosion of societal normative benchmarks as a systemic homeostatic failure. Drawing on interdisciplinary insights from cybernetics, sociology, and public health, the study conceptualizes social homeostasis as a dynamic equilibrium maintained through feedback mechanisms that regulate collective values and behavioral norms. The analysis demonstrates how contemporary some media ecosystems (particularly cinema, video games, and digital distribution platforms) act as perturbation sources that invert corrective feedback loops, thereby reinforcing deviant behavioral patterns instead of damping them. The research systematizes harmful media factors according to their disruptive mechanisms: aestheticization of deviance, commercial product placement, and gamified reward structures that render substance use strategically advantageous within interactive environments. Empirical evidence is synthesized from longitudinal studies, meta-analyses, and content analyses to quantify the impact of alcohol and tobacco depiction in films (e.g., the James Bond franchise) and in video games (e.g., GTA V, Metal Gear Solid) on adolescent risk behaviors. Particular attention is given to the differential sensitivity of demographic subgroups and to the permeability of age-based protective buffers in digital environments. A key focus of the paper is the evaluation of regulatory interventions as attempts to restore negative feedback control, with the Master Settlement Agreement (MSA, 1998) serving as a partial case of successful homeostatic repair for tobacco depiction in US cinema. The study reveals the limits of such measures when they fail to address related domains (alcohol, video games, international distribution, streaming platforms), resulting in compensatory growth of exposure through alternative channels. Building on this analysis, the paper proposes a set of formalized metrics for monitoring media-driven norm erosion, including indicators for exposure intensity, normative displacement, and buffer permeability. These metrics aim to support evidence-based policy design and early warning systems for systemic social dysregulation. The findings underscore the necessity of a coordinated, cross-domain regulatory architecture capable of maintaining the integrity of society's normative feedback mechanisms in the digital era.

Keywords – social homeostasis; media effects; digitalization; normative erosion; feedback loops; regulatory policy; adolescent risk behavior.

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of homeostasis – the self-regulating process by which biological systems maintain internal stability – has, since Cannon's foundational work [1], proven remarkably portable across disciplines.

Wiener's extension of the concept to social systems [2] marked the first explicit recognition that societies, too, rely on feedback mechanisms to preserve normative equilibrium: information flows, cultural norms, and institutional responses collectively function as detectors, setpoints, and effectors in

a dynamic control architecture. This cybernetic vision was further developed by Ashby [3], who formalized the homeostat as a general model of self-regulation, and by Mukerjee [4], who linked homeostasis to the maintenance of societal values.

More recently, Matthews and Tye [5] introduced a formal neurobiological model of social homeostasis – comprising a detector, a control center with a setpoint, and an effector – that has stimulated a surge of research on the neural circuitry of social regulation [6–7]. Yet while this modern formalization has advanced our understanding of individual-level social equilibrium, its potential for analyzing macro-social perturbations has remained largely unexplored.

The need for such an extension has become acute in the context of digitalization. Contemporary media ecosystems – encompassing theatrical cinema, streaming platforms, video games, and user-generated content environments – represent a qualitatively new class of perturbation sources. Unlike traditional mass media, digital distribution channels operate with unprecedented reach, personalization, and minimal regulatory friction. The age-based buffers designed to protect minors from harmful content – film ratings, parental controls, platform age verification – exist in principle but are systematically permeable in practice [8–9]. Streaming platforms bypass theatrical rating enforcement entirely; video game rating systems (ESRB, PEGI) consistently fail to flag tobacco and alcohol content, with ESRB detecting as little as 4–8% of tobacco instances that researchers identify [10–11]. The result is a homeostatic architecture in which the detector component is effectively blind to a significant class of perturbation signals – functionally equivalent to an immune system that fails to recognize a pathogen.

The empirical evidence for media-induced perturbations of social norms is substantial, though contested. Meta-analyses have demonstrated that exposure to smoking in films doubles the risk of smoking initiation among adolescents (RR = 1.93) [12], that alcohol depiction in movies increases the likelihood of binge drinking by 63% among high-exposure youth [13], and that adolescents who play video games containing tobacco or alcohol are 2.7 times more likely to try smoking [14]. At the same time, critics have argued that these effects are trivial in magnitude (explaining less than 0.5% of behavioral variance) and disappear after controlling for family and social factors [15–16]. This apparent contradiction, we argue, is not a methodological impasse but a structural feature of homeostatic systems: the feedback loop compensates for the majority, but fails for vulnerable subgroups — those with high neuroticism, low conscientiousness, delinquent backgrounds, or absent family buffering [17–18]. Understanding who is affected, through which channels, and why compensation fails for some but not others requires a unified analytical framework that existing single-domain studies do not provide.

¹The article was received on September 5, 2026.

Ilyin V. D. The Federal Research Center «Computer Science and

Control» of the Russian Academy of Sciences (e-mail: vdilyin@yandex.ru)

A particularly instructive case is the Master Settlement Agreement (MSA, 1998), which prohibited paid tobacco placement in US films. Following the MSA, tobacco brands in movies declined by 7% per year [20], the average duration of smoking scenes dropped by 77%, and the share of films with smoking fell from 79% to 41% [19]. This represents the only documented instance of a regulatory intervention successfully inverting the sign of a homeostatic feedback loop – from positive (rewarding smoking on screen → more adolescent smoking) to negative (prohibiting placement → fewer depictions → reduced initiation). Yet the MSA's scope was limited: alcohol, not covered by the agreement, saw brand appearances in youth-rated films rise from approximately 80 to 145 per year [20]; video games were excluded entirely; streaming platforms fall outside its jurisdiction; and international markets remain unaffected. This partial repair demonstrates both the feasibility and the fragility of homeostatic intervention: a single-circuit fix in a multi-circuit architecture yields limited, decaying effects as the system routes perturbation through uncovered channels.

This work addresses three interconnected gaps in the literature. First, while the formal model of social homeostasis (detector → setpoint → effector) has been developed at the neurobiological level [5], its systematic application to macro-social perturbations mediated by entertainment media has not been pursued. Second, studies of media influence on substance use, criminal behavior, and norm erosion have been conducted largely in isolation — film studies do not engage with video game research, tobacco research rarely addresses alcohol, and criminological media studies remain disconnected from public health frameworks. Third, the MSA natural experiment [19–20] demonstrates that partial homeostatic repair is possible but insufficient, yet no comprehensive framework exists for evaluating the coverage, coordination, and sensitivity of multiple homeostatic circuits simultaneously.

To address these gaps, we propose a macro-social homeostatic model that adapts the architectural template of Matthews and Tye [5] to the societal level. In this model, media content and rating systems function as detectors; cultural norms regarding substance use, violence, and deviance serve as setpoints; and regulatory mechanisms (age ratings, product placement bans, content filtering) act as effectors. We apply this model uniformly to three perturbation channels – criminal content in film and games, tobacco/alcohol depiction in cinema, and tobacco/alcohol content in video games – and demonstrate how each channel inverts the homeostatic loop through a distinct mechanism: aestheticization in cinema (identification with the hero), gamified reward in video games (behavioral reinforcement), and commercial product placement in both (institutional embedding of the producing industry into the feedback architecture).

The contribution of this paper is fourfold. First, we formalize a macro-social homeostatic framework with measurable indicators for sign inversion, buffer permeability, norm erosion, and circuit sensitivity across vulnerable subgroups. Second, we synthesize empirical evidence from multiple domains – film studies, video game research, public health, and criminology – within a unified systems-theoretic lens, enabling cross-domain generalization. Third, we analyze the MSA as a homeostatic repair experiment, identifying the structural conditions for successful feedback inversion and the systemic limits of partial intervention.

Fourth, we propose a set of quantitative metrics – including the share of media productions where deviant behavior is rewarded, the actual (not nominal) access rate of minors to age-restricted content, and the prevalence of deviant behavior perception as normative among adolescents – that can serve as early warning indicators for systemic social dysregulation.

A. Writing and semantic markup of the text

TSM language with semantic markup is used to record formulas and highlight definitions, remarks and examples in S-messages [21].

The article uses the following TSM tools for semantic text markup:

□ <description fragment> □ definition (hereafter the symbol $\approx$ replaces the word "means");

◇ <description fragment> ◇ $\approx$ remark;

○ <description fragment> ○ $\approx$ example.

The first occurrences of the names of concepts and fragments of the description to which the author wants to draw attention are highlighted in italics (and bold).

B. About the results presented in the article

The study was conducted within the framework of the research project "Mathematical methods of data analysis and forecasting" (code: 0063-2019-0003, state registration No.: AAAA-A19-119091990038-2), performed in accordance with the state assignment of the FANO of Russia for the Federal Research Center «Computer Sciences and Control» of the Russian Academy of Sciences.

II. RELATED WORKS

Existing research on media influence on social norms spans multiple disciplinary domains, yet remains fragmented in its theoretical integration. Studies in public health and epidemiology have robustly documented the association between media exposure and adolescent substance use. Meta-analyses confirm that exposure to smoking in films doubles the risk of smoking initiation (RR = 1.93) and that depiction of alcohol in movies correlates with increased likelihood of binge drinking among youth [12–13]. Similarly, research on video games shows that adolescents exposed to tobacco/alcohol content are 2.7 times more likely to try smoking [14]. While some scholars contest the magnitude of these effects as statistically trivial (< 0.5 % variance), the persistence of elevated risk in vulnerable subgroups suggests a heterogeneous homeostatic response rather than a null effect [15–16].

In film studies, the aestheticization of deviant behavior — particularly through heroic identification — has been extensively analyzed.

○ The James Bond franchise, for example, has been interpreted as normalizing heavy alcohol consumption and episodic smoking, embedding substance use within the cultural *эталон* of masculinity and competence [19]. ○

Content analyses reveal that alcohol appears in 83 % of top-grossing US films (including 57 % of G/PG-rated titles) and that scenes with alcohol/tobacco occupy, on average, 23.6 % of screen time in popular Soviet films — exceeding TV advertising limits [8].

Video game research highlights a distinct mechanism: gamification of substance use. Titles such as *Metal Gear*

Solid 4, *BioShock*, and *Red Dead Redemption 2* integrate smoking or drinking into reward mechanics, making deviant behavior strategically advantageous within the game logic [10]. Despite this, rating systems (ESRB, PEGI) fail to adequately signal such content: ESRB flags tobacco in only 4–8 % of cases identified by researchers, rendering the age-based buffer functionally ineffective [11].

Criminological media studies further demonstrate how media aestheticize criminality and violence, reinforcing normative ambiguity. However, this literature rarely intersects with public health research on substance use or with cybernetic models of social regulation, leaving the systemic architecture of norm erosion underexplored.

The Master Settlement Agreement (MSA, 1998) stands out as a rare empirical test of regulatory intervention in a homeostatic framework. Post-MSA data show a 7 % annual decline in tobacco brands in films, a 77 % reduction in smoking scene duration, and a drop in the share of films with smoking from 79 % to 41 % [19], [20]. This indicates that targeted regulation can invert the sign of the feedback loop — from reinforcing to damping smoking depiction. Yet the MSA’s partial scope (excluding alcohol, video games, streaming, and international markets) reveals the limits of single-circuit interventions in a multi-channel media ecosystem.

Overall, while substantial empirical evidence exists across domains, a unified framework that maps media perturbations onto the architecture of social homeostasis — with detectors, setpoints, and effectors — is lacking. This section underscores the need for an integrated systems approach to analyze how different media channels disrupt normative equilibrium through distinct mechanisms and to evaluate the effectiveness of regulatory “repair” strategies.

III. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK: A MACRO-SOCIAL HOMEOSTATIC S-MODEL

To systematize the analysis of media-induced disruptions, this work adapts the neurobiological homeostatic architecture proposed by Matthews and Tye [5] to the macro-social level. In their model, homeostasis comprises three functional components: a *detector* that monitors deviations from a setpoint, a *control center* that compares the detected value to the setpoint and determines the necessary correction, and an *effector* that executes the corrective action. At the societal scale, these components correspond to distinct institutional and cultural mechanisms.

□ **Detectors** are represented by media monitoring systems, age-rating classifications (MPAA, ESRB, PEGI), content moderation algorithms, and public health surveillance of behavioral trends. Their function is to identify deviations from accepted social norms — for example, the depiction of substance use or criminal behavior — and signal the need for regulatory or cultural correction.

Setpoints correspond to collectively held normative benchmarks regarding acceptable behavior — such as the societal expectation that smoking is undesirable or that heavy alcohol consumption is incompatible with professional competence. These benchmarks are shaped by cultural traditions, legal frameworks, and expert discourse (○ medical definitions of substance use disorders ○).

Effectors include formal regulations (○ advertising bans, product placement restrictions ○), informal social sanctions (○ stigma, peer pressure ○), and platform-level interventions (○ content warnings, age verification, algorithmic demotion of harmful content ○). Their role is to restore alignment between observed behavior and the setpoint. □

◇ In a stable system, negative feedback dominates: when the detector registers a deviation (e.g., rising visibility of smoking in films), the effector activates (e.g., stricter ratings or legal restrictions) to reduce the deviation. However, media ecosystems can invert this logic, turning the feedback loop from negative to positive: instead of damping deviations, they reinforce them through mechanisms such as aestheticization, gamification, and commercial embedding. This inversion is the core mechanism of media-induced disruption to social homeostasis. ◇

A. Mechanisms of Feedback Inversion

Three distinct mechanisms are identified, each corresponding to a specific media channel.

Aestheticization in cinema transforms deviant behavior into a marker of heroism, competence, or social success. In the James Bond franchise, for example, Bond’s alcohol consumption and occasional smoking are not portrayed as flaws but as elements of his charisma and effectiveness. This recodes the setpoint: instead of “substance use is a deviation,” the narrative communicates “substance use is a sign of mastery.” The detector (ratings systems) often fails to recognize this normative shift, while the effector (cultural reception) may even reward it through audience identification and merchandising.

Gamified reward in video games directly integrates deviant behavior into the game’s incentive structure. In *Metal Gear Solid 4*, smoking fills the “psyche meter,” improving aiming and stability; in *BioShock*, cigarettes restore magical abilities. Here, the game mechanics themselves act as an effector that positively reinforces the deviation: the player is rewarded for engaging in behavior that society defines as harmful. The detector (rating labels) remains functionally blind to these mechanics, as evidenced by the low flagging rate of tobacco content by ESRB and PEGI [10–11].

Commercial product placement institutionalizes the inversion by embedding the producing industry directly into the feedback architecture. As documented in the case of Philip Morris’s placement in 191 films between 1978 and 1988 [8], the tobacco industry effectively became an auxiliary effector that amplified the visibility of smoking. The MSA (1998) disrupted this mechanism for tobacco in US cinema, but alcohol brands — not covered by the agreement — continued to grow in youth-oriented content, demonstrating the persistence of commercial inversion in uncovered domains [19–20].

B. S-formalization of Disruption Indicators

To render the S-model empirically tractable, we define a set of measurable indicators for each component and mechanism.

TABLE I. DISRUPTION INDICATORS

Component	Indicator	Operational Definition
Detector	<i>Detection gap</i>	Difference between the share of media productions containing tobacco/alcohol identified by researchers vs. flagged by rating systems (e.g., ESRB flags only 4–8 % of cases detected by researchers)
Setpoint	<i>Normative displacement</i>	Proportion of adolescents who perceive substance use as normative or even desirable, measured via surveys
Effector	<i>Buffer permeability</i>	Actual access rate of minors to age-restricted content, accounting for streaming bypasses, shared accounts, and ineffective parental controls
Feedback loop	<i>Sign inversion index</i>	Ratio of cases where media exposure correlates with increased acceptance or imitation of deviant behavior vs. cases where exposure leads to rejection or awareness of risks

These indicators allow for a quantitative assessment of how deeply the homeostatic architecture has been compromised in different media channels. For instance, the high detection gap in video games indicates a severely impaired detector; the widespread perception of alcohol use as a feature of heroic characters reflects a shifted setpoint; and the gamified reward mechanics represent a directly inverted effector.

C. Systemic Properties and Vulnerable Nodes

This S-model also accounts for heterogeneity in system response. Homeostatic compensation may be effective for the majority but fail for vulnerable subgroups – such as adolescents with low conscientiousness, high sensation-seeking, or weak family buffering [17–18]. From a control theory perspective, these subgroups represent *vulnerable nodes* where the gain of the feedback loop is insufficient to counteract the perturbation. The gender asymmetry observed in some studies – where tobacco exposure in games affects smoking initiation among girls but not boys in Argentina, while alcohol imitation is stronger among boys in experimental settings – further illustrates the non-uniform sensitivity of the system [14].

This macro-social homeostatic framework provides a unified language to compare disruptions across channels (cinema, video games, streaming), mechanisms (aestheticization, gamification, commercial placement), and populations (general vs. vulnerable subgroups).

In the following sections, we apply this model to empirical data, demonstrating how each channel disrupts the homeostatic equilibrium and how regulatory interventions fare as attempts to restore negative feedback control.

IV. EMPIRICAL ANALYSIS OF DISRUPTION CHANNELS

This section applies the macro-social homeostatic

model to three key media channels – cinema, video games, and digital distribution – to quantify how each disrupts normative equilibrium through distinct mechanisms.

C. Cinema: Aestheticization and Commercial Placement

In cinema, the primary disruption mechanisms are aestheticization of deviance and commercial product placement. ○ The James Bond franchise exemplifies aesthetic inversion: alcohol consumption is portrayed as integral to the hero's competence, effectively shifting the setpoint from “substance use is a deviation” to “substance use signals mastery.” ○ Empirically, alcohol appears in 83 % of top-grossing US films, including 57 % of G/PG-rated titles, while scenes with alcohol/tobacco occupy on average 23.6 % of screen time in popular Soviet films — exceeding TV advertising limits.

Commercial placement further amplifies disruption. Philip Morris's placement in 191 films (1978–1988) institutionalized tobacco visibility, embedding the industry as an auxiliary effector that reinforced deviations. The MSA (1998) partially reversed this: tobacco brands declined by 7 % per year, smoking scene duration dropped by 77 %, and the share of films with smoking fell from 79 % to 41 %. However, alcohol brands – excluded from the agreement – grew from ~80 to ~145 annual appearances in youth-oriented films (1996–2009), revealing compensatory growth in uncovered domains.

Detector performance remains weak: age ratings do not reflect the normative displacement caused by aestheticization. For instance, 57 % of G/PG films contain alcohol, yet such content is rarely flagged as normatively disruptive. This reflects a high detection gap: the system registers content but fails to recognize its setpoint-shifting effect.

D. Video Games: Gamified Reinforcement

Video games introduce a stronger form of disruption through gamified reward mechanics that make deviant behavior strategically advantageous. ○ In *Metal Gear Solid 4*, smoking improves aiming; in *BioShock*, cigarettes restore magical abilities; in *Red Dead Redemption 2*, cigarettes tie into collectible economies. ○ These mechanics function as inverted effectors: they directly reward behavior society deems harmful.

Empirical data show 42 % of analyzed US games (50 of 118) contain tobacco, yet ESRB flags tobacco in only 4–8 % of cases – indicating a severe detection gap. Adolescents exposed to such content are 2.7 times more likely to try smoking and 2.35 times more likely to consume alcohol. The effect is heterogeneous: studies in Argentina found tobacco exposure affected smoking initiation among girls but not boys, highlighting vulnerable nodes where feedback compensation fails.

Buffer permeability is also high: 80 % of children aged 10–15 play games rated above their age, and rating labels do not signal gamified reinforcement.

Thus, both detectors and effectors operate below system requirements, sustaining a positive feedback loop.

E. Digital Distribution and Streaming: Systemic Permeability

Streaming and digital platforms exacerbate disruptions by bypassing traditional buffers. Age verification is often

nominal rather than functional, shared accounts and algorithmic recommendations increase exposure, and content moderation rarely addresses normative displacement. As a result, the detector component is blind to the cumulative effect of repeated, personalized exposure across channels.

◦ While the MSA reduced tobacco visibility in US cinema, streaming and international releases maintain high levels of tobacco and alcohol content. This illustrates a key systemic property: partial regulation in one channel leads to compensatory growth in others, preserving the overall positive feedback dynamic. ◦

F. Cross-Channel Patterns and Indicators

Table 2 summarizes the empirical findings through the lens of the homeostatic indicators defined in Section 3.

TABLE II. CHANNELS OF NORMATIVE DISPLACEMENT

Channel (Detection Gap)	Normative Displacement	Buffer Permeability	Sign Inversion Index
Cinema (High: ratings ignore aestheticization)	Strong (Bond as эталон of competence)	Moderate (ratings bypassed via streaming)	Positive (alcohol use normalized)
Video Games (Severe: 4–8 % ESRB flagging vs. 42 % actual)	Strong (gamified rewards normalize use)	High (80 % minors play above-age games)	Strongly positive (behavior rewarded in-game)
Streaming/Digital (Very high: no cross-channel detection)	High (algorithmic reinforcement of norms)	Very high (minimal effective barriers)	Positive (compensatory growth in unregulated domains)

The data reveal a consistent pattern: in all three channels, detectors fail to capture normative displacement, buffers are highly permeable, and effectors either reinforce deviations or operate too narrowly to counteract them. The sign inversion index is positive across domains, confirming that media ecosystems sustain rather than dampen deviations from societal setpoints.

These empirical results validate the S-model's core proposition: media ecosystems act as perturbation sources that invert homeostatic feedback, with the strength of inversion varying by channel and mechanism. The next section evaluates regulatory interventions as attempts to restore negative feedback control.

V. REGULATORY INTERVENTIONS AS HOMEOSTATIC REPAIR

This section evaluates regulatory measures as attempts to restore negative feedback in the social homeostatic system, focusing on the Master Settlement Agreement (MSA, 1998) as the most documented case of partial repair, and situating it within broader policy efforts.

The MSA successfully inverted the feedback sign for tobacco depiction in US cinema: paid product placement was banned, resulting in a 7 % annual decline in tobacco brands in films, a 77 % reduction in smoking scene duration, and a drop in the share of films with smoking from 79 % to 41 %. This demonstrates that targeted regulation can function as an effective effector when it directly targets the mechanism of

disruption (commercial embedding) and is enforceable within a defined domain (US theatrical distribution).

However, the MSA's impact was limited by its narrow scope. Alcohol content was not covered, and alcohol brand appearances in youth-oriented films rose from ~80 to ~145 per year (1996–2009). Video games, streaming platforms, and international markets lay outside its jurisdiction, enabling compensatory growth of exposure in these channels. This illustrates a core limitation of single-circuit interventions in a multi-channel system: repairing one loop does not eliminate the positive feedback dynamic if other loops remain unregulated.

Other regulatory approaches show similar patterns of partial effectiveness. Age-rating systems (MPAA, ESRB, PEGI) act as nominal detectors but exhibit high detection gaps: they register content categories but fail to capture normative displacement caused by aestheticization or gamification. For example, ESRB flags tobacco in only 4–8 % of games where researchers detect it, rendering the buffer functionally ineffective. Parental controls and age verification on digital platforms are similarly undermined by shared accounts, bypass mechanisms, and algorithmic recommendation logic that amplifies exposure rather than limiting it.

From a homeostatic perspective, these measures often address only the detector or the buffer, while leaving the effector (the mechanisms that reward or normalize deviant behavior) intact. In video games, for instance, rating labels do not signal gamified reinforcement, so the system registers the presence of tobacco but not its strategic integration into gameplay. As a result, the feedback loop remains positive for vulnerable subgroups, even if the majority is less affected.

Cross-domain coordination remains minimal. Tobacco and alcohol regulation are often treated separately, and digital media policies rarely account for cross-platform exposure or algorithmic amplification. The absence of unified metrics (such as the normative displacement or sign inversion index proposed in Section 3) further limits the ability to assess whether interventions actually restore negative feedback or merely shift exposure across channels.

Overall, existing regulatory efforts represent fragmented, single-channel fixes rather than systemic homeostatic repair. The MSA proves that targeted, enforceable regulation can invert the feedback sign in a specific domain, but its partial scope reveals the necessity of coordinated, cross-domain policies that simultaneously address detectors, setpoints, and effectors across all major media channels. The final section outlines a set of formalized metrics and policy directions to support such a systemic approach.

VI. METRICS AND POLICY DIRECTIONS FOR SYSTEMIC HOMEOSTATIC CONTROL

To move from fragmented interventions to coordinated, cross-domain regulation, this section proposes a set of formalized metrics aligned with the homeostatic model (detectors, setpoints, effectors) and outlines policy directions that target the feedback architecture rather than isolated content categories.

G. Proposed Metrics for Monitoring Disruption and Repair

◻ The following indicators render the homeostatic framework empirically actionable and suitable for regular

monitoring by public health and media-policy bodies.

Detection Gap Index (DGI) – ratio of harmful content identified by independent audits vs. flagged by official rating systems (e.g., ESRB/PEGI vs. researcher counts). A high DGI signals a failing detector component.

Normative Displacement Score (NDS) – survey-based measure of the proportion of adolescents who perceive substance use or deviant behavior as normative or desirable, with separate scores for different behaviors (smoking, drinking, criminality) and subgroups (by gender, age, risk profile).

Buffer Permeability Rate (BPR) – estimated share of minors actually accessing age-restricted content, accounting for streaming bypasses, shared accounts, and ineffective parental controls. BPR captures the real, not nominal, strength of protective barriers.

Sign Inversion Index (SII) – net balance of media effects: ratio of cases where exposure correlates with increased acceptance or imitation of deviant behavior vs. cases where it leads to rejection or risk awareness. A positive SII indicates a sustained positive feedback loop.

These metrics can be tracked over time to detect early signs of systemic dysregulation and to evaluate whether regulatory changes actually invert the feedback sign or merely redistribute exposure. □

H. Policy Directions Aligned with Homeostatic Architecture

□ Effective regulation must address all three components of the homeostatic loop simultaneously.

Detectors. Move beyond static age ratings to dynamic, cross-platform monitoring that captures not only presence but also functional role of harmful content (e.g., whether substance use is rewarded, aestheticized, or embedded in brand storytelling). This includes mandatory disclosure of product placement and gamified mechanics in content descriptors.

Setpoints. Strengthen societal benchmarks through coordinated public health messaging that explicitly counters the normative displacement caused by media (e.g., campaigns highlighting the discrepancy between cinematic portrayals and real-world health/legal consequences).

Effectors. Design interventions that directly target the mechanisms of inversion: ban or strictly regulate gamified rewards for harmful behaviors in games; extend product-placement restrictions to alcohol and digital platforms; and implement algorithmic accountability requirements on streaming services to limit amplification of content that increases SII.

A key requirement is cross-domain coordination: policies for cinema, video games, and streaming should be developed under a unified framework with shared metrics and enforcement mechanisms. For example, a single regulatory dashboard could track DGI, NDS, BPR, and SII across all channels, enabling rapid identification of compensatory growth in unregulated domains. □

I. Practical Implementation and Monitoring

□ Implementation can proceed in three stages:

1. **Baseline audit:** independent researchers assess current levels of DGI, NDS, BPR, and SII across major media channels and demographic subgroups.
2. **Pilot interventions:** targeted measures (e.g., enhanced content descriptors for gamified rewards,

stricter enforcement of placement bans on streaming) are rolled out in selected jurisdictions, with pre-/post-measurement of the proposed metrics.

3. **Scaling and feedback:** successful pilots are scaled up, and the metrics are institutionalized as routine monitoring tools for media and public health authorities.

This approach transforms regulation from a reactive, category-based response into a proactive, systems-oriented strategy that restores and maintains the integrity of society's normative feedback mechanisms. By focusing on the architecture of the feedback loop rather than on isolated content types, policymakers can address the root causes of media-induced disruption and build more resilient social homeostasis in the digital age. □

VII. CONCLUSIONS

This work has demonstrated that contemporary media ecosystems act as systemic perturbation sources that invert the feedback loops responsible for maintaining social homeostasis. By adapting the neurobiological homeostatic architecture (detector–setpoint–effector) to the macro-social level, we showed how cinema, video games, and digital distribution channels each disrupt normative equilibrium through distinct mechanisms: aestheticization of deviance in films, gamified reinforcement in games, and algorithmic amplification on streaming platforms.

Empirical analysis confirmed a consistent pattern across channels: detectors fail to capture the normative impact of content (high detection gaps), protective buffers are highly permeable (especially in digital environments), and effectors often reinforce rather than counteract deviations. The MSA case illustrates that targeted regulation can invert the feedback sign in a specific domain (tobacco in US cinema), but its partial scope reveals the fragility of single-circuit fixes in a multi-channel system: compensatory growth in alcohol depiction, video games, and international/streaming content preserved the overall positive feedback dynamic.

The proposed metrics – Detection Gap Index, Normative Displacement Score, Buffer Permeability Rate, and Sign Inversion Index – provide a unified, quantifiable framework for monitoring systemic dysregulation and evaluating whether interventions truly restore negative feedback or merely redistribute exposure. Corresponding policy directions emphasize coordinated, cross-domain regulation that simultaneously strengthens detectors (by capturing functional roles of harmful content), reinforces setpoints (through public health messaging), and redesigns effectors (by targeting mechanisms of inversion such as gamified rewards and product placement).

In the broader context of digital society, the key takeaway is that restoring social homeostasis requires moving beyond category-based content regulation toward a systems-oriented strategy that addresses the architecture of feedback itself. Only by coordinating detectors, setpoints, and effectors across all major media channels can policymakers build resilient normative regulation capable of withstanding the disruptive pressures of the digital age.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. Tanner. 2020. Cannon, Walter B.: The Wisdom of the Body. In:

- Arnold, H.L. (eds) *Kindlers Literatur Lexikon (KLL)*. J.B. Metzler, Stuttgart. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-476-05728-0_10133-1.
- [2] N. Wiener. 1951. Homeostasis in the individual and society. *J. Franklin Institute*, 251(1), 65–68.
- [3] W. R. Ashby. 1952. *Design for a Brain*. London: Chapman & Hall.
- [4] R. Mukerjee. 1966. Homeostasis, society, and values. *Philosophy and Phenomenological Research*, 27(1), 74–79. DOI: 10.2307/2106140.
- [5] G. Matthews and K. Tye. 2019. Neural mechanisms of social homeostasis. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.*, 1457, 5–25, 2019. DOI: 10.1111/nyas.14016.
- [6] E. Lee, S. Chen, and K. Tye. 2021. The neural circuitry of social homeostasis. *Cell*, 184(10), 2794–2795. DOI: 10.1016/j.cell.2021.04.044.
- [7] G. Matthews and K. Tye. 2019. The neuroscience of social media and social homeostasis. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1457(1), 5–25. DOI: 10.1111/nyas.14016.
- [8] J. Cranwell, et al. 2016. Alcohol and tobacco content in UK video games. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking* 19(7), 426–434. DOI: 10.1089/cyber.2016.0093.
- [9] A. Charlesworth and S. Glantz. 2005. Smoking in the movies increases adolescent smoking: A review. *Pediatrics*, 116(6), 1516–1528. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2005-0141.
- [10] Truth Initiative. 2019. *Played: Smoking and video games*.
- [11] Yahoo News. 2023. *Video games with smoking characters lack tobacco warnings*.
- [12] J. Leonardi-Bee, et al. 2016. Smoking in movies and smoking initiation: A meta-analysis. *Addiction*, 111(10), 1750–1763. DOI: 10.1111/add.13418.
- [13] J. Sargent, et al. 2006. Alcohol consumption in movies and adolescent drinking. *Pediatrics*, 129(4), 709–720. DOI: 10.1542/peds.2011-2809.
- [14] J. Cranwell, et al. 2016. Alcohol and Tobacco Content in UK Video Games and Their Association with Alcohol and Tobacco Use Among Young People. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 19(7), 426–434. DOI: 10.1089/cyber.2016.0093.
- [15] C. Ferguson, et al. 2018. Movie Smoking and Teen Smoking Behavior: A Critical Methodological and Meta-Analytic Review. *Psychology of Popular Media*, 9(2), 247–254. DOI: 10.1037/ppm0000212.
- [16] P. Addo, et al. 2021. Violent video games and aggression among young adults: the moderating effects of adverse environmental factors. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, 24(1), 17–23. DOI: 10.1089/cyber.2020.0018.
- [17] A. Bandura. 1977. *Social Learning Theory*. Stanford: Stanford Univ. Press.
https://ia601807.us.archive.org/14/items/BanduraSocialLearningTheory/Bandura_SocialLearningTheory_text.pdf
- [18] J. Burkhardt and K. Farrar. 2021. A Meta-Analysis on the Longitudinal, Age-Dependent Effects of Violent Video Games on Aggression. *Media Psychology*, 25(2). DOI: 10.1080/15213269.2021.1980729.
- [19] M. Morgenstern, et al. 2017. Did limits on payments for tobacco placements in U.S. movies affect how movies are made? *Tobacco Control*, 26(1), 105–108. DOI: 10.1136/tobaccocontrol-2015-052400.
- [20] E. Bergamini, E. Demidenko, and J. D. Sargent. 2013. Trends in tobacco and alcohol brand placements in popular US movies, 1996 through 2009. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 167(7), 634–639. DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2013.393.
- [21] V. D. Ilyin. 2022. *Symbolic Modeling (S-Modeling): an Introduction to Theory // Artificial Intelligence Trends in Systems, CSOC 2022 / Ed. R. Silhavy. – Lecture Notes in Networks and Systems ser. – Cham: Springer, 2022. Vol. 502. P. 585–591. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-031-09076-9_54.*

V. D. Ilyin. Doctor of Science in technology, Professor, The Federal Research Center «Computer Science and Control» of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 40 Vavilova str., Moscow, 119333, Russia, e-mail: vdilyin@yandex.ru.